UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/501,395	08/18/2004 Florian Lichtenberg		LP-1940	2442	
==-	7590 07/28/200 STEN & SABOL	EXAMINER			
1120 20TH STREET, NW, SOUTH TOWER, SUITE 750			SASAN, ARADHANA		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/501,395	LICHTENBERG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

		ARADHANA SASAN		1615	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED <u>02 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a replies: (1) an amendme eal (with appeal fee) in co	Notice of Ant, affidavitempliance	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>	of the final rejection.			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	ater than SIX MONTHS from b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	n the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
have under set fo may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exi 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the seth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the correspondi shortened statutory period fo than three months after the	ng amount o or reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
	CE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AME</u>	NDMENTS				
3. 🗌	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a contract the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a filed aft	nsideration and/or search w); ter form for appeal by ma	(see NOT	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
، ا	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21 Can attached Nation	of Non Cor	maliant Amandment ([OTOL 224)
4.	•		or Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (r	71 OL-324).
6. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a			
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			be entered and an ex	xplanation of
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections ur and was not earlier pres	nder appea sented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the clai	ms after er	itry is below or attache	ed.
11. 🛭	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the ap	plication in	condition for allowand	ce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. [Other:				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive because claim 1 recites: A process of utilizing a disinfectant composition comprising: a) an amine and/or quaternary ammonium salt of formula (Ia) or formula (Ib) and b) at least one alkanolamine of formula (II). The prior art (McCue et al. (US 5,908,854) teaches a process of utilizing a composition (of quaternary ammonium compounds (with substituents R1 to R4) and an alkanolamine compound). Claims 6 and 19-20 were amended to include the term auxiliaries instead of aids. Claims 18-19 were amended to recite "The process". This overcomes the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 18-19.

/MP WOODWARD/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615